pin intended for fittingdesigned to fit in a seat formed in the shoulder of the piece of furniture.

## **REMARKS**

The Examiner rejected the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 2, as being too ambiguous or too indefinite. Applicant has amended the claims to obviate the Examiner's rejections and objections. Thus, the claims should now be satisfactory and the objection/rejection based on the claims can be withdrawn, and the claims can be examined. Further, since none of the prior art of record appears to anticipate or suggest all elements of the claims as amended, the claims can be allowed.

\*\*\*\*\*\*

In view of the foregoing remarks, the subject application is believed to be in condition for allowance. Therefore, further consideration and allowance of the subject application is requested. If the Examiner considers personal contact advantageous to the disposition of this case, please call Applicant's Attorney, David E. Henn at (585) 325-4618, SHLESINGER & FITZSIMMONS, Rochester, New York 14604, or fax him at (585) 232-5997.

Respectfully submitted,

SHLESINGER & FITZSIMMONS

By: David E. Henn

Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 37,546

DEH/kmh